## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

IN RE: ZANTAC (RANITIDINE)	MDL NO 2924
PRODUCTS LIABILITY	20-MD-2924
LITIGATION	
	JUDGE ROBIN L ROSENBERG
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE BRUCE REINHART
	J
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
MARGARET MURPHY COOME	

## SHORT-FORM COMPLAINT – VERSION 2

The Plaintiff(s) named below, by counsel, file(s) this Short Form Complaint against Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint ("AMPIC") in In re: Zantac (Ranitidine) Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2924 (S.D. Fla). Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short-Form Complaint – Version 2 as permitted by Pretrial Order No. 31 and as modified by the Court's Orders regarding motions to dismiss [DE 2532, 2512, 2513, 2515, and 2016].

Plaintiff(s) select(s) and indicate(s) by completing where requested, the Parties and Causes of Actions specific to this case. Where certain claims require additional pleading or case specific facts and individual information, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

Plaintiff(s), by counsel, allege as follows:

### I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

## A. PLAINTIFF(S)

(Plaintiff Name(s))

1.	Plaintiff(s)	_Margaret N	<b>Aurphy</b>	Coome_	("Plaintiff(s)")	brings	this	action
	(check the ap	oplicable desi	ignation	ı):				

 $\boxtimes$ On behalf of [himself/herself];

	In representative capacity as the, on behalf of the injured party, (Injured Party's Name)			
2.	Injured Party is currently a resident and citizen of (City, State) Cape Coral, Florida and claims damages as set forth below.			
	—OR—			
	Decedent died on (Month, Day, Year) At the time of Decedent's death, Decedent was a resident and citizen of (City, State)			
If any party	claims loss of consortium,			
3.	("Consortium Plaintiff") alleges damages for loss of consortium.			
4.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Consortium Plaintiff is a citizen and resident of (City, State)			
5.	At the time the alleged injury occurred, Consortium Plaintiff resided in (City, State)			
B. DEF	ENDANT(S)			
6.	Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants from the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint in this action:			
	<ul> <li>a. Brand-Name Manufacturers: All entities collectively referred to in the Master Long Form Complaint as Boehringer Ingelheim; GlaxoSmithCline; Pfizer; Chattem; and Sanofi</li> <li>b. Generic Manufacturers:</li> <li>c. Distributors and Repackager:</li> </ul>			
	d. Retailers:			
	e. Others Not Named in the AMPIC:			

# C. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Identify the Federal District Court in which Plaintiff(s) would have filed this action in the absence of Pretrial Order No. 11 (direct filing) [or, if applicable, the District Court to which their original action was removed]: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA.
- 8. Jurisdiction is proper upon diversity of citizenship.

BREAST CANCER

ESOPHAGEAL CANCER GASTRIC CANCER KIDNEY CANCER LIVER CANCER LUNG CANCER

PANCREATIC CANCER PROSTATE CANCER OTHER CANCER:

Plaintiff(s).

COLORECTAL/INTESTINAL CANCER

### II. **PRODUCT USE**

9.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic ranit	idine: [Check all that apply]			
	Over the counter				
10.	The Injured Party used Zantac and/or generic (month, year) February 1995 to July				
III. PHYSICAL INJURY					
11.	As a result of the Injured Party's use of the medic was diagnosed with the following specific type of	•			
Check all	Cancer Type	Approximate Date of			
that		Diagnosis			
apply					
$\boxtimes$	BLADDER CANCER	2018			

DEATH (CAUSED BY CANCER) 12. Defendants, by their actions or inactions, proximately caused the injuries to

## IV. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

- 13. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint are asserted against the specified defendants in each class of Defendants enumerated therein, and the allegations with regard thereto are adopted in this Short Form Complaint by reference.
- 14. By checking the appropriate causes of action below, Plaintiff(s) assert these causes of action based upon the law and applicable Sub-Counts of the following state(s):<sup>1</sup>

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in
	I	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	the AMPIC All States and Territories, Except DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	II	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> LA, NJ, OH, and WA
	III	Strict Products Liability – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	IV	Negligence – Failure to Warn through Proper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> LA, NJ, OH, OK, and WA
	V	Negligence - Failure to Warn Consumers through the FDA (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	CA, DE, DC, HI, IN, KY, LA, MD, MA, MN, MO, NV, NY, OR, and PA
	VI	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Warnings and Precautions (Against Brand-Name Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In selecting the relevant states above, Plaintiffs reserve all rights to argue choice of law issues at a later time.

Check all that apply	Count	Cause of Action	States for which the cause of action was asserted in the AMPIC
	VII	Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Due to Improper Expiration Dates (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories, <b>Except</b> DE, IA, MA, NC, PA, and VA
	VIII	Negligent Failure to Test (Against Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	KS, TX
	IX	Negligent Product Containers: (Against Brand- Name and Generic Manufacturers of pills)	All States and Territories
	X	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Retailer and Distributor Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XI	Negligent Storage and Transportation Outside the Labeled Range (Against All Brand-Name and Generic Manufacturer Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XII	Negligent Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in California)	CA only
	XIII	Reckless Misrepresentation (Against Brand-Name Manufacturers by Generic Consumers in Massachusetts)	MA only
	XIV	Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XV	Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories
	XVI	Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)	All States and Territories

If Count XV or Count XVI is alleged, additional facts supporting the claim(s):					

# V. JURY DEMAND

15. Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

## VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) has/have been damaged as a result of Defendants' actions or inactions and demand(s) judgment against Defendants on each of the above-referenced causes of action, jointly and severally to the full extent available in law or equity, as requested in the Amended Master Personal Injury Complaint.

/s/ Anthony Ifediba
Anthony Ifediba (5751T70I)
Attorney for the Plaintiff
Ifediba Law Group, LLC.
1220 16<sup>th</sup> Street South
Birmingham, AL 35205
PH: (205) 933-1515

FAX: (205) 933-5959 aifediba@ifedibalaw.com